

From: corvair@mindspring.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 12:35pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I have been an information systems professional for more than a decade. In my opinion, the proposed Judgement is not an adequate remedy to the issues cited in the findings of the case.

It is insufficient because:

a) it allows Microsoft to charge developers a fee for interoperability information and allows Microsoft to set limits to its dissemination. This immediately disallows any open-source development using Microsoft-supplied data, and open source "free" software is one of the few possible competitors to a monopoly with the power and scope Microsoft wields.

b) Microsoft is left completely un-hindered in its ability to leverage existing market dominance in its pursuit of monopolies in other markets using precisely the same business practices cited in the finding.

For these reasons I regard the proposed remedy as inadequate.

Radford Davis
Pittsburgh, PA